







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,582	01/22/2002	Danny L. Beasley	218063US25CO	4130
22850 7	590 08/13/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			DINH, DUNG C	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Į.		
Office Action Summary		09/683,582	BEASLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Dinh	2153			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - External filter - If the - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replo period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a)□	•	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Dienositi	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
-	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ilsapproved by the Examiner.			
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Karriirier.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n priority under 25 II.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	if priority under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some c)☐ Notice of.1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		unnlication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
* (application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).		
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachmen	at(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>a</u>	5) D Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/683,582

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,112,264. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2100 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2153

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please

label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner

August 7, 2002